

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
YOUNG JOON YANG, et al.,
Defendants

No. CR 05-00395 CRB

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
FROM APRIL 5, 2006 TO JUNE 7, 2006
UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
MISUK MOORE, et al.,
Defendants

No. CR 05-00447 CRB

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
CHANG KUN KIM,
Defendant

No. CR 05-00613 CRB

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 06-0101 CRB
2	Plaintiff,)	
3	v.)	
4	YON SUK PANG, et al.,)	
5	Defendant)	
6	_____)		
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 06-0192 CRB
8	Plaintiff,)	
9	v.)	
10	ANTHONY GAR LAU et al.,)	
11	Defendant)	
12	_____)		

13
14 On April 5, 2006, defendants in the above-captioned cases appeared, with counsel, before
15 the Court for a status conference. Assistant United States Attorney Peter B. Axelrod appeared on
16 behalf of the United States.

17 The parties agreed to a continuance of the matter to June 7, 2006, and a corresponding
18 exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. § 3161) to provide defense counsel with
19 sufficient time to obtain and review discovery.

20 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from April 5, 2006, to June 7,
21 2006, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. For the reasons set forth above,
22 the Court finds that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny defense counsel
23 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due
24 diligence. Further, the Court finds the exclusion warranted on complexity grounds, under 18
25 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), based on the number of defendants, the number of calls on the wire
26 and the fact that the vast majority of the calls are in the Korean language. Thus, the Court finds
27 that the ends of justice served by granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of
28 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

1 The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§
2 3161(h)(8)(A), (h)(8)(B)(ii) and (h)(8)(B)(iv).

3 Further, the Court ORDERS the parties to appear for a status conference on June 7, 2006,
4 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom #8 on the 19th Floor of the Federal Building.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 DATED: 04/12/06

